



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/047,472	01/14/2002	Par Jonas Barklund	M61.12-0416	1049
27366 7590 04/17/2007 WESTMAN CHAMPLIN (MICROSOFT CORPORATION) SUITE 1400 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3319			EXAMINER	
			SHORTLEDGE, THOMAS E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
				<u></u>
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	04/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/047,472	BARKLUND ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thomas E. Shortledge	2626
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro-	·
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1, 3-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraws 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This communication is in response to Remarks, filed 01/22/2007.
- 2. Claims 1, and 3-14 are pending. Claims 1 and 3 have been amended. Claim 2 has been cancelled.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 01/22/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues (Remarks, page 7) that, "...the entire claim is a transformation that yields a tangible result. The transformation is accomplished by transforming a discourse representation structure (DRS) into a completely different form. This is done by deleting elements of the DRS, sorting various elements, normalizing other elements, and finally sorting boxes and box elements, in order to "generate a DRS in a normal form." Thus, it is now clear that claim 1 is specifically drawn to a transformation that generates a tangible result, the tangible result being a DRS in a normal form. Thus, Applicant submits that the claims are now drawn to statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. § 10." However, the examiner disagrees and argues that transforming a discourse representation structure into a different form is merely a transformation from data to data, not a physical transformation. Further, the

Application/Control Number: 10/047,472

Art Unit: 2626

applicant argues that a tangible result is generated. The examiner disagrees and argues that a tangible result must be a "real world" result and generating a DRS in a normal form is not a "real world" result. The result as claimed is instead reasonable interpreted as just a thought or computation within a processor.

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 1/22/07, with respect to claim 1 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 103(a) rejection of claim 1, rejected over Saldanha et al. (US 6,714,939 B2) has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1, and 3-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Regarding claim 1, the following is the analysis that was performed: Does the claimed invention fall within a §101 judicial exception - law of nature, natural phenomena or abstract idea? Yes, the claimed limitations describe an algorithmic process, and thus correspond to an abstract idea. Does the claimed invention cover a §101 judicial exception, or practical application by producing a physical transformation or a tangible result? No. The final step is the "sorting the boxes and box elements"

Application/Control Number: 10/047,472

Art Unit: 2626

which is neither a physical transformation nor a tangible result. Thus claim 1 is non-statutory under §101.

Claims 3-14 are rejected for failing to cure the deficiencies of their respective parent non-statutory claims.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas E. Shortledge whose telephone number is (571)272-7612. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 4:30.

Art Unit: 2626

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on (571)272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TS 4/09/07

> RICHEMOND DORVIL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER